

United States Patent and Trademark Office



- PRI IO A TION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846.054	04/30/2001	John R. Gustafson	K35A0459	4487
	90 03/05/2004		K35A0459 4487 EXAMINER BLOUIN, MARK S	MINER
22217	IGITAL TECHNOLO	GIES, INC.		MARK S
20511 LAKE F	OREST DRC205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LAKE FORES	I, CA 92030		2653	
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/200	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		• ·	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
\	09/846,054	GUSTAFSON ET	AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
U	Mark Blouin	2653	
The MAILING DATE of this communication aperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered tim MONTHS from the mailing date of this no ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The strict This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the strict This action is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the strict This action is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the strict This action is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the strict This action is action in the strict This action is action. 	nis action is non-final.	matters, prosecution as to t C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	he merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-67 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-67 are subject to restriction and/	Irawn from consideration		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b)∟ object the drawing(s) be held in a rection is required if the dr	rawing(s) is objected to. See 37	Of 11 1. 12 1(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been receive nents have been receive priority documents have ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this Natio).	nal Stage
Attachment(s)	_	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	B) Pa B/08) 5) □ No	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date ptice of Informal Patent Application her:	(PTO-152)
LLS Patent and Trademark Office		Port of Par	ner No /Mail Date 7

Application/Control Number: 09/846,054

Art Unit: 2653

Detailed Action

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. Species A is drawn to a spindle motor as shown in Figure 1.
 - b. Species B is drawn to a spindle motor as shown in Figure 2.
 - c. Species C is drawn to a spindle motor as shown in Figure 3.
 - d. Species D is drawn to a spindle motor as shown in Figure 4A.
 - e. Species E is drawn to a spindle motor as shown in Figure 4B.
 - f. Species F is drawn to a spindle motor as shown in Figure 5.
 - h. Species H is drawn to a spindle motor as shown in Figure 6A.
 - i. Species I is drawn to a spindle motor as shown in Figure 6B.
 - j. Species J is drawn to a spindle motor as shown in Figure 6C.
 - k. Species K is drawn to a spindle motor as shown in Figure 7A.
 - 1. Species L is drawn to a spindle motor as shown in Figure 7B.
 - m. Species M is drawn to a spindle motor as shown in Figure 8.
 - n. Species N is drawn to a spindle motor as shown in Figure 9.
 - 2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, the generic claims are 1,31, and 63.
 - 3. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number: 09/846,054

Art Unit: 2653

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 4. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Chris Kim, (949) 672-7813, on Thursday, February 26, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/846,054

Art Unit: 2653

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8. examiner should be directed to Mark Blouin whose telephone number is (703) 305-5629. The examiner can normally be reached M-F, 6:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached at (703) 305-6137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314 for regular and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2653 February 26, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600